

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,882	11/21/2002	Ikuko Mikami		9142
23850	7590 03/05/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			BOGART, MICHAEL G	
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC 20006		. 3761	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
	09/763,882	MIKAMI, IKUKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael G. Bogart	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  I the mailing date of this communication.  ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 h	lovember 2002.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under the			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2002 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected are: a) objected are are accepted or b) objected are are accepted as a complex settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected are accepted as a complex settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected are accepted as a complex settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected are accepted as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion in the complex settion is required as a complex settion in the complex settion in the comple	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08 Aug. 2001</u>.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D		

Application/Control Number: 09/763,882

Art Unit: 3761

4

## **Detailed Action**

#### Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested:

-- Absorbent Breast Pad--.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because lines 1 and 2 recite "a breast pad which avoids hard feelings." This language should be revised to clarify its meaning. For example, --a breast pad which avoids discomfort-- would be a suitable alternative. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The paragraph at page 4, lines 3-6 is awkwardly written and unclear. A suitable replacement paragraph would be: --In addition, the second object of the present invention is to provide a dome-shaped breast pad which has not been formed by a heat treatment process so as to avoid discomfort associated with materials which have been subject to heat deformation and/or heat treating materials.--

At page 6, line 21, after "member," the language is awkward and unclear. It is suggested that this language be replaced with -- it is difficult for the breast pad to slip out of its location--.

At page 8, line 18, "unpleasant feelings will not be given to a user" should be replaced with clearer language, such as: --a user will not experience discomfort--.

At page 13, line 11, applicants should replace "meltblow" with --meltblowing--.

➤ Application/Control Number: 09/763,882

Art Unit: 3761

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 2, 3 and 6-10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

At claims 2 and 3, "groove" should be plural and "curves" should be singular to comply with the antecedent language of the claims.

At claims 2, 3 and 7-10, "elastic member" should be plural.

At claim 6, line 1, replace "maim" with --main--.

At claim 6, line 5, after "water proof," insert --member--.

At claim 6, line 9, after "skin" insert --facing--.

At claim 6, line 9, after "side" replace "is" with --are--, in order to comply with the plural use of "edges" in the preceding line.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, applicants claim "elastic members each disposed at a respective side edge portion". This language is confusing because is not clear whether applicant is claiming a

Art Unit: 3761

pair of elastic members, or some other number. If applicants intend to claim a pair, the term --a pair of-- should be inserted in line 4, before "elastic". If applicants intend to claim some other number of elastic members, it is suggested that language such as: --at least one elastic member disposed at each respective side edge-- be employed.

Further regarding claim 1, applicants claim "concave grooves each provided inside each of said elastic members and extended in an expansion direction of each of said elastic members. The use of "each" makes it unclear what number of grooves and elastic members are being claimed. Also, the use of "inside" could be interpreted to mean that the grooves are within the actual elastic members themselves, rather than between the elastic members, as it appears that applicants intend to claim in light of the specification. An example of acceptable replacement language would be "a pair of concave grooves provided between said pair of elastic members and extended in an expansion direction of said pair of elastic members."

Regarding claim 6, applicants claim "elastic members each disposed at a respective side edge portion". This language is confusing because is not clear whether applicant is claiming a pair of elastic members, or some other number. If applicants intend to claim a pair, the term --a pair of-- should be inserted in line 6, before "elastic". If applicants intend to claim some other number of elastic members, it is suggested that language such as: --at least one elastic member disposed at each respective side

edge-- be employed.

Claims 2-5 and 7-10 are rejected as being dependent upon claims 1 and 6.

Art Unit: 3761

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 and 6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-5 and 7-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The most relevant art of record (Laid-open No. 83005/1989) teaches a breast pad comprising a pair of elastic members disposed at each side (see Figures 1-11).

Regarding claims 1-5, the reference fails to teach concave grooves disposed between the elastic members.

Regarding claims 6-10, the reference fails to teach side edges of the topsheet folded so as to embrace side edges of the absorbent core.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Bogart whose telephone number is (703) 605-1184. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday.

In the event the examiner is not available, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert may be reached at phone number (703) 305-1025. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 746-3380 for informal communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0858.

Michael Bogart 27 February 2004

JOHN CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700